The New Antitrust Law in China: What U.S. Companies and Investors Must Do Now

The IP/Antitrust Interface and Remedies in China's Civil Law System

Paul Jones

Jones & Co. 钟保禄律师事务所 Джоунс и Ko.

www.jonesco-law.ca

July 1, 2008

Strafford Publications Teleconference

中国的民法体系 - China's Legal System

China has a civil law legal system

- Legal reform commenced in 1902
- Based on the German Bürgerliches Gesetzbuch (civil code) of 1900
- China is adopting a code in parts
 - Contract Law (合同法) 1999
 - Property Rights Law (物权法) 2007

中国的民法体系 - China's Legal System

- Civil law uses deductive reasoning from the top down
- Common law uses inductive reasoning from the bottom up – cases and precedent
- Civil law statutes are statements of general principles
- Should not be read restrictively as in common law

中国的民法体系 - China's Legal System

The Anti-monopoly Law is a statement of general principles

More specific guidance may be provided in:

- 条例 Tiaoli generally adopted by the State Council
- 办法 Banfa generally adopted by ministries and agencies
- 解释 Explanations issued by the Supreme Peoples Court

Article 55 – This Law does not apply to action taken by undertakings to protect their legitimate intellectual property rights in accordance with the intellectual property laws and regulations;

however, this Law does apply to action taken by undertakings that eliminates or restricts competition by abusing intellectual property rights.

Article 55 and Articles 13(4) and 15(1) suggest that the intellectual property laws are viewed as something separate but equal to the Anti-Monopoly Law, similar to the U.S.

 Some in China and elsewhere would argue that intellectual property laws are subordinate to the antitrust laws

Other IP Provisions:

Prohibited monopoly agreements

Art. 13(4) – Restricting the purchase of new technology and equipment, or restricting the research and development of new technology and products.

Exemptions to Prohibited Monopoly Agreements

Art. 15(1) – For the purpose of improving technologies, research, and the development of new products.

Other IP Provisions (cont'd):

Factors to consider when reviewing Concentrations (Mergers)

Art. 27(3) – The proposed concentration's influence on market access and technology development.

What is meant by an "abuse of intellectual property rights" in Article 55?

- Microsoft was accused of unfairly bundling a Chinese handwriting recognition system (2000)
- Critics in both China and the U.S. accuse firms in the other party of misusing patent pools and standard setting – Philips DVD3C, MPEG-2, ATSC

Case - 德先 诉 索尼 (Dexian v. Sony)

上海市第一中级人民法院 (Shanghai No. 1 Intermediate People's Court) commenced 2004 – heard January 17, 2007 – no decision yet

Dexian accused Sony of adopting a technology that prevents batteries made by other companies from being used in Sony's digital cameras. The complaint was made under the Anti-Unfair Competition Law.

Sony said it had a patent on the technology relating to signals between a camera and its battery

Existing Guidelines:

Interpretation of the Supreme People's Court concerning some issues on the Application of Law in the Trial of Cases on Disputes over Technology Contracts – December 16, 2004

Article 10 – illegal monopolization and impairing technological progress

1. restricting one party from undertaking new research and development on the technology; requiring nonreciprocal grant-backs or sole-ownership of jointly developed IP

- 2. restricting a party form obtaining similar technology from other origins
- 3. impeding one party's exploitation of the market
- 4. requiring the licensee to also acquire raw materials and other items from the licensor
- 5. unreasonably restricting the source of raw materials and other items
- 6. prohibiting the licensee from making objections as to the validity of the IP

- Provisions of the Interpretation are very similar to the provisions of the EU TTBER issued in April 2004
- But in the AML Article 17(5) tied selling is restricted only if it is not part of "competing on the merits"
- That the AML is less restrictive than the SPC Interpretation suggests changes are coming

2005 Report on Foreign Related IPR Cases

- Commissioned by the State Intellectual Property Office (SIPO)
- Reviewed 15 disputes
 - several regarding technical standards
 - DVD patent pool dispute
 - disputes over motor vehicle designs

Conclusions:

- China needed to improve awareness and strategies
- China needed to increase its participation in international standard setting organizations
- China needed to improve its antitrust laws
 - in particular clarify exhaustion of rights
 - parallel imports

- Zhang Qin Deputy Director SIPO 2007-03-13
 - Commented that a visiting DOJ official in charge of IP abuse only emphasized IP protection, not prohibition of IP abuse
 - But "a prohibition on IP abuse is urgently needed"

March 2008 legislative meetings

SIPO Deputy Director Li Yuguang said that China has suffered significant losses because of barriers posed by foreign IPR, particularly technical standards

June 2008

Report that SIPO is investigating Microsoft for charging excessively high prices and bundling

Report denied by SIPO the next day

- Ask yourself why is the restriction being used in China?
 Is it reasonable and proportionate for that purpose?
- Consider the principles when interpreting the rules
- Use the Supreme People's Court 2004 Interpretation as a guide; European practice may also help
- Consider the issues that China has raised in the 2005 report and elsewhere
- Monitor the forthcoming regulations and guidelines, and be prepared to adapt to changes in the rules

AML Chapter 7

反垄断执法机构 — Anti-Monopoly Enforcement Agency shall:

- order actions stopped
- confiscate illegal gains
- impose fines of from 1% to 10% of previous year's total sales volume

 Also fines for refusing to submit information or otherwise obstruct an investigation

Appeal

- firstly administrative reconsideration
- review by court under Administrative
 Procedure Law

Article 50 – Businesses shall bear the relevant civil liabilities in the event that they cause damage to others by breach of the AML

Bring an action in the Intermediate Level People's Court of the place where the defendant is domiciled

These courts usually have specialized IP benches

 Regulations have already been amended to include AML cases as part of the duties of these benches

The New Antitrust Law in China: What U.S. Companies and Investors Must Do Now

The IP/Antitrust Interface and Remedies in China's Civil Law System

Paul Jones

Jones & Co. 钟保禄律师事务所 Джоунс и Ko.

www.jonesco-law.ca

July 1, 2008

Strafford Publications Teleconference